

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,550	03/27/2000		Shau-Lin Shue	TS97-232B	4337
75	90	12/05/2001			
George O Saile				EXAMINER	
20 McIntosh Drive				OWENS, DOUGLAS W	
Poughkeepsie, I	Poughkeepsie, NY 12603			0 WENG, 2000ENS W	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2811	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\blacksquare				
J. J.		Application No.	plicant(s)				
	Office Action Commence	09/534,550	SHUE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL ING DATE (4)	Douglas W Owens	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	August 2001 .					
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 March 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
1	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev	64.64	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 09/534,550

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,907,772 to Iwasaki in view of US Patent No. 5,656,529 to Fukase.

Regarding claim 19, Iwasaki teaches a cylindrical shaped capacitor structure, comprising:

a bottom polysilicon shape (42A) on a first section of an underlying insulator layer (34), wherein the bottom polysilicon shape overlies and contacts a plug (38) disposed in an opening in the insulator layer; and

vertical polysilicon shapes (42B) on a second section of the underlying insulator layer and adjacent the bottom polysilicon shape.

lwasaki does not teach a capacitor dielectric layer and an upper electrode. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide these layers since they are critical to the operation of a capacitor.

lwasaki does not teach an agglomerated metal silicide layer on the exposed portions of the cylindrical polysilicon shape. Fukase teaches a lower electrode for a capacitor having an agglomerated metal silicide layer on the lower electrode. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of

Application/Control Number: 09/534,550

Art Unit: 2811

Fukase into the device taught by Iwasaki since it is desirable to increase the effective surface area of capacitor electrodes, resulting in greater capacitance.

Regarding claim 20, Iwasaki teaches a capacitor structure, wherein the silicon layer comprises vertical polysilicon shapes connected by a horizontal polysilicon shape.

Regarding claim 21, neither Iwasaki nor Fukase teach a semiconductor device, wherein the silicide layer comprises titanium silicide, cobalt silicide, nickel silicide or platinum silicide. Fukase teaches a semiconductor device, wherein the metal silicide is tungsten silicide or other refractory silicide layers (Col. 6, lines 65-67). Fukase does not explicitly teach a silicide layer chosen from the group consisting of titanium silicide, cobalt silicide, nickel silicide, and platinum silicide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a silicide from the cited group since they are known metal silicides and well suited for the intended use.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/534,550

Art Unit: 2811

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 703-

308-6167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for

regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

DWO

November 16, 2001

Steven Loke

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Theren Lole